

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,806	10/25/2000	Lisa M. Palmer	014208.1339	3178	
5073	7590 09/23/2003				
	OTTS L.L.P.		. EXAM	. EXAMINER	
2001 ROSS SUITE 600			MCCLELLA	N, JAMES S	
DALLAS, T	X 75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 1			<u> </u>	
_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/696,806	PALMER ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		James S McClellan	3627	
Pariod :	Th MAILING DATE of this communic for Reply	ation appears on the cover shet wit	th the correspondenc address	
	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	R REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MG	ONTH(S) FROM	
THE - Ex aft - If t - If N - Fa - An	tensions of time may be available under the provisions of tensions of time may be available under the provisions of tensions of time may be available under the provisions of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONIII, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. I'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[∑	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>25 October 2000</u> .		
2a) <u></u>		o)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)[_			i
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 200</u>	<u>00</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	cted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	***		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed		sapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ			
,	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
а	ı) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority d			
	2. Certified copies of the priority d			
*		f the priority documents have been tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not	_	
14)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
15)	a) The translation of the foreign lang	• .		
Attachme	ent(s)			
2) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pag	O-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

. Application/Control Number: 09/696,806

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities: in line 3, "identifiers" should be replaced with --identifies--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-14, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,058,380 (Anderson et al.).

Regarding **claim 1**, Anderson et al. discloses a method for organizing vendor information comprising: receiving data comprising a plurality of accounts payable items, each accounts payable item having an associated vendor name (see column 12, line 63, "vendor name"), the vendor name representing a business associated with a purchase transaction represented by the accounts payable item, wherein ones of the accounts payable items are associated with a first inventor name; and associating a first vendor identifier (see column 12, line 64, "vendor identification number") and a second vendor identifier (see column 12, lines 64-66, "vendor type") using a computer with ones of the accounts payable items associated with the first vendor

. Application/Control Number: 09/696,806

Art Unit: 3627

name; [claim 2] the first vendor identifier (see column 12, line 64, "vendor identification number") uniquely identifies a single vendor associated with the first vendor name; [claim 3] the second vendor identifier (see column 12, lines 64-66, "vendor type") indicates a relationship between a vendor and the vendor with the first vendor name; [claim 5] the first vendor identifier (see column 12, line 64, "vendor identification number") and second vendor identifier (see column 12, lines 64-66, "vendor type") are associated with ones of the items in response to the first vendor name; [claim 6] maintaining a database (see column 12, lines 53-58, "database 66") associating one or more vendor identifiers with the first vendor name; [claim 7] the database (see column 12, lines 53-58, "database 66") was at least partially created in response to a database describing relationships between a plurality of vendor names (vendors are associated by vendor type); [claim 8] the database was created at least partially in response to relationships between vendors defined by a user of computer software associated with the database (vendors are associated by vendor type); [claim 9] associating a plurality of vendor identifiers with a vendor group (vendors are associated by vendor type); and [claim 10] the vendor group comprises a plurality of vendors with a common characteristic selected from the group consisting of an industry (see column 12, lines 65-66, "vendor type, e.g., gas, electric, telephone..."), a product, an ownership relationship, a strategic alliance, and a joint venture.

Regarding **claim 12**, Anderson et al. discloses a system for organizing vendor information as required by the method of claim 1 described above in detail. Dependent **claims 13-21** are similar to claims 2-10 as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,806

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 11, 15, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,926,810 (Noble et al.).

Regarding claims 4, 11, 15, and 22, Anderson et al. fails to disclose a vendor identifier that indicates the relationship between vendors based on a subsidiary, joint venture, partnership, or an ownership relationship.

Noble et al. teaches adding a vendor identifier that indicates the relationship between vendors based on a subsidiary (see column 11, lines 1-24), joint venture, partnership, or an ownership relationship.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Anderson et al. with vendor identifier as taught by Noble et al., because distinguishing between subsidiaries allows an entity to better organize their databases with more specific information which allows more detailed analysis of the state of the entity.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.



Art Unit: 3627

Kouchi et al. discloses a data retrieval system with multiple source capability that allows tracking of subsidiaries.

Moriyama et al. is cited of interest for disclosing a management system.

Barnes et al. is cited of interest for disclosing an electronic trading system.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 (Official communications) or (703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Patent Examiner A.U. 3627